

INCOMING TELEGRAM

Department of State

126

~~TOP SECRET~~

Action  
SS

Control: 1102  
Rec'd: NOVEMBER 3, 1962  
2:02 PM

Info

FROM: NEW YORK

014

TO: Secretary of State

NO: 1627, NOVEMBER 3, 2 PM

PRIORITY

EYES ONLY FOR SECRETARY

RE: CUBA

DECLASSIFIED

Authority State Sec 3/28/77  
By mbp/ke, NARS, Date 4/22/77

NARASIMHAN INFORMED YOST THIS MORNING RESULTS HIS CONVERSATION WITH MOROZOV JUST CONCLUDED ON ICRC SHIP INSPECTION.

MOROZOV HAD STATED CATEGORICALLY USE OF U.S. VESSELS BY ICRC FOR THIS PURPOSE UNACCEPTABLE TO SOVIET UNION AS KUZNETSOV HAD INFORMED SYG SOME DAYS AGO. ONLY SOV OR NEUTRAL VESSELS WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE. WHEN NARASIMHAN INQUIRED WHETHER SUITABLE SOV VESSELS AVAILABLE FOR THIS PURPOSE MOROZOV SAID HE WOULD INQUIRE. HE EMPHASIZED HOWEVER NEUTRAL VESSELS ENTIRELY ACCEPTABLE THOUGH, IN RESPONSE NARASIMHAN'S QUERY, HE EXCLUDED LA VESSELS BECAUSE OF OAS INVOLVEMENT IN CUBAN ISSUE. HE SUGGESTED SWEDISH VESSELS. WHEN NARASIMHAN POINTED OUT IT WOULD PROBABLY TAKE SOME TIME TO OBTAIN SUITABLE SWEDISH VESSELS AND LIFTING U.S. QUARANTINE WOULD THEREBY BE DELAYED, MOROZOV EVINCED NO PARTICULAR CONCERN.

HE WENT ON HOWEVER TO INDICATE HIS BELIEF THAT ICRC INSPECTION WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR NO MORE THAN TEN DAYS. HE REFERRED TO SYG'S ORIGINAL APPEAL FOR 2-3 WEEK INTERIM PERIOD FOR NEGOTIATION AND SETTLEMENT CUBAN PROBLEM. THIS IS NOT QUESTION OF MONEY INVOLVED, WHICH WILL PRESUMABLY BE SMALL, BUT OF PRINCIPLE. WERE U.S. TO PAY WHOLE COST OF OPERATION IT MIGHT APPEAR THAT U.S. IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROBLEM CREATED BY INTRODUCTION OF OFFENSIVE WEAPONS INTO CUBA.

~~TOP SECRET~~

IF ANY  
REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS  
PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"

ONLY

~~TOP SECRET~~

-2- 1627, NOVEMBER 3, 2 PM, FROM NEW YORK

IF ANY SINGLE NATION SHOULD PAY THE BILL IT SHOULD OBVIOUSLY BE USSR. NARASIMHAN REPLIED THAT IT HAS BEEN STRONG VIEW OF SYG THAT ICRC OPERATION COULD NOT BE PAID FOR OUT OF UN FUNDS WITHOUT SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION SC OR GA BUT THAT HE WOULD DISCUSS THIS QUESTION WITH HIM AGAIN AS WELL AS WITH STAVROPOULOS AND WITH UN COMPTROLLER.

IN REPLY TO YOST QUESTION WHETHER HE HAD RAISED WITH MOROZOV QUESTION OF ICRC INSPECTION OF OTHER THAN SOV SHIPS IN ACCORDANCE PARA 7 OF MEMO LEFT WITH HIM YESTERDAY, NARASIMHAN REPLIED IN NEGATIVE BUT SAID HE HAD DISCUSSED MATTER WITH STAVROPOULOS WHO HAD EXPRESSED FIRM OPINION THAT ICRC WOULD NEVER AGREE TO INSPECT VESSELS OF OTHER STATES UNLESS GOVTS OF EACH OF THOSE STATES HAD PREVIOUSLY GIVEN CONSENT IN WRITING TO THIS INSPECTION. STAVROPOULOS POINTED OUT PROCESS OF OBTAINING SUCH CONSENT FROM GOVTS OF MARITIME STATES INVOLVED WOULD BE VERY PROLONGED.

FINALLY NARASIMHAN SAID THAT ICRC REP RUEGGER, WHOM WE HAD REPORTED YESTERDAY AS BEING EN ROUTE TO NEW YORK, HAD NEVER SHOWN UP. ACCORDING REPORT FROM SPINELLI, UN REP IN GENEVA HE HAD INFORMED ICRC YESTERDAY THAT CUBA HAS NO OBJECTION TO INSPECTION AT SEA AND ICRC HAD THEREUPON INDICATED THEY WOULD SEND REP PROMPTLY TO NEW YORK AND WOULD INFORM SPINELLI OF DETAILS. NO FURTHER REPORT FROM SPINELLI HAD BEEN RECEIVED BY NOON TODAY.

STEVENSON

REE

Note: Advance copies to SS 11-3-62 CWO-M.  
Passed Principle Officers Executive Committee per SS.

~~TOP SECRET~~

**EXCISED COPY FOLLOWS**

273

## INCOMING TELEGRAM

REVIEWED BY <u>TIC/AN/AN/AN</u> DATE <u>4/1/84</u>
<b>Department of State</b>
REASON FOR REVIEW <u>1.3(2)</u>
TS AUTH. <u>TIC/AN</u> REASON(S) <u>1.3(2)</u>
ENDORSE EXISTING MARKINGS <input type="checkbox"/>
DECLASSIFIED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
RELEASE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
PA or FOI EXEMPTIONS <u>2-1</u> Control: <u>T 102</u>

EXCISE

 Rec'd: NOVEMBER 3, 1962  
 2:02 PM

 M  
 Action  
 SS

Info

FROM: NEW YORK

TO: Secretary of State

NO: 1627, NOVEMBER 3, 2 PM

PRIORITY

EYES ONLY FOR SECRETARY

RE: CUBA

 NARASIMHAN INFORMED YOST THIS MORNING RESULTS HIS CONVERSATION  
 [REDACTED] ON ICRC SHIP INSPECTION.

NOISE REVIEW EYES ONLY
Cat. A - Caption removed; transferred to O/FADRC
Cat. B - Transferred to O/FADRC with additional access controlled by S/S
Cat. C - Caption and custody retained by S/S
Reviewed by: <u>Seth Boyer</u>
Date: <u>9 March 1984</u>

021

 THIS IS NOT QUESTION OF MONEY INVOLVED, WHICH WILL PRESUMABLY  
 BE SMALL, BUT OF PRINCIPLE. WERE U.S. TO PAY WHOLE COST OF  
 OPERATION IT MIGHT APPEAR THAT U.S. IS RESPONSIBLE FOR PROBLEM  
 CREATED BY INTRODUCTION OF OFFENSIVE WEAPONS INTO CUBA.

 IF ANY  
 REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS  
 PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED"

~~SECRET~~

-2- 1627, NOVEMBER 3, 2: PM FROM NEW YORK

IF ANY SINGLE NATION SHOULD PAY THE BILL IT SHOULD OBVIOUSLY BE USSR. NARASIMHAN REPLIED THAT IT HAS BEEN STRONG VIEW OF SYG THAT ICRC OPERATION COULD NOT BE PAID FOR OUT OF UN FUNDS WITHOUT SPECIAL AUTHORIZATION SC OR GA BUT THAT HE WOULD DISCUSS THIS QUESTION WITH HIM AGAIN AS WELL AS WITH STAVROPOULOS AND WITH UN COMPTROLLER.

IN REPLY TO YOST QUESTION ~~REDACTED~~  
QUESTION OF ICRC INSPECTION OF OTHER THAN SOV SHIPS IN ACCORDANCE PARA 7 OF MEMO LEFT WITH HIM YESTERDAY, NARASIMHAN REPLIED IN NEGATIVE BUT SAID HE HAD DISCUSSED MATTER WITH STAVROPOULOS WHO HAD EXPRESSED FIRM OPINION THAT ICRC WOULD NEVER AGREE TO INSPECT VESSELS OF OTHER STATES UNLESS GOVTS OF EACH OF THOSE STATES HAD PREVIOUSLY GIVEN CONSENT IN WRITING TO THIS INSPECTION. STAVROPOULOS POINTED OUT PROCESS OF OBTAINING SUCH CONSENT FROM GOVTS OF MARITIME STATES INVOLVED WOULD BE VERY PROLONGED.

FINALLY NARASIMHAN SAID THAT ICRC REP RUEGGER, WHOM WE HAD REPORTED YESTERDAY AS BEING EN ROUTE TO NEW YORK, HAD NEVER SHOWN UP. ACCORDING REPORT FROM SPINELLI, UN REP IN GENEVA HE HAD INFORMED ICRC YESTERDAY THAT CUBA HAS NO OBJECTION TO INSPECTION AT SEA AND ICRC HAD THEREUPON INDICATED THEY WOULD SEND REP PROMPTLY TO NEW YORK AND WOULD INFORM SPINELLI OF DETAILS. NO FURTHER REPORT FROM SPINELLI HAD BEEN RECEIVED BY NOON TODAY.

STEVENSON

REE

Note: Advance copies to SS 11-3-62 CWO-M.  
Passed Principle Officers Executive Committee per SS.

~~SECRET~~

165